

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

A DDV 10 - TUO			www.uspto.gov	313-1450
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/722,629	11/28/2003		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		Daniel F. Lawless	AMPC 5054	7116
· ·	90 12/27/2004			
Legal Office (AMSAM-L-G-I, Ms. Anne Lanteigne)			EXAMINER DINH, TIEN QUANG	
Redstone Arsena	ial, AL 35898-5000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 3644	
			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	m
Office Action Summary	10/722,629	LAWLESS, DANIEL F.	<i> </i>
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this community	Tien Dinh	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M  (6(a). In no event, however, may a rewithin the statutory minimum of third	ONTH(S) FROM  pply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely	
Status		way may reduce any	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Oc</u>			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This a	tober 2004.	:	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Fx	e except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-17 is/are withdrawn	from consideration		
Starrits is/are allowed.	onoidoration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement		
pplication Papers	, and the		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on income income.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ed or b) dbjected to by	the Examiner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Fxam	is required if the drawing(s)	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
, <u></u>	iner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	
only under 35 0.5.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pric a) All b) Some * c) None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	10 haar 1 11	Cation No.	
	Inclimente hovo bassis	Pived in this Nation 1 a	
		sived in this National Stage	- 1
application from the International Bureau (B)	C Rule 17.2(a))		- 1
application from the International Bureau (B)	I Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not rece	rived	
	31 Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not rece	vived.	
application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	Fig. Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not rece	vived.	
application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	7 Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not rece	vived.	
application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not rece		
application from the International Bureau (PC * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not rece  4)  Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413)	

Application/Control Number: 10/722,629

Art Unit: 3644

### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 15-17 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/18/04.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leek in view of Forsmo, Shafer, or Cannon et al.

Leek discloses a flying object with first and second fins 11(which do not rotate beyond pre-set angles) being housed in housings (that are positioned at a first pre-set angle) and can be rotated at desired angles. The fins are rotated in opposite directions. Motors 24 (which is connected to electronic controllers) with gears are used to rotate the fins. Leek is silent on the guidance computer that has means to determine corrective angles. However, Forsmo, Shafer, or Cannon et al teaches that means to determine corrective angles to control the fins so that it performed as desired so that the flying object reaches its destination are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used means to determine corrective angles in Leek's system as taught by Forsmo, Shafer, or Cannon et al to allow the flying object to reach its destination correctly.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pijaca et al, Pellegri et al, Burke et al, Guthrie e tal, and Trulin et al disclose aircraft control means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 703-308-2798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703)305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

Tim of